

and choices in their health care policies, in their Medicare policies.

Mr. STARK. Madam Speaker, I object to the letter being introduced.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

Ms. CASTOR. Madam Speaker, we are not going to divide this country over health care. We are going to bring them together and fight for better health care for our children and our seniors and everyone.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Texas, the distinguished member of the Health Subcommittee on the Committee on Ways and Means, Mr. DOGGETT.

Mr. DOGGETT. Madam Speaker, of course, that letter is one of many endorsements of groups coming together because they know that today they are improving health care for our oldest Americans and our youngest Americans.

Unfortunately, my home State of Texas has the distinction of being number one in children with no health insurance, largely due to the indifference of then Governor George Bush who responded too late and too little. His indifference to the health crisis now is hardly surprising given his indifference then.

The Republican prescription drug plan, the largest entitlement increase in recent history, is a study in how to let Medicare "wither on the vine" at the time they inject waste, fraud and abuse into the system.

Now Republicans are using every available obstructionist tactic to block our reforms, to curb their own excesses, such as their lavishing billions on big insurance companies. Despite their professed interest in controlling entitlement spending, only two of their 21 committee amendments would have reduced spending and the vast majority would have increased spending on borrowed money.

Their sermons about Medicare insolvency are betrayed by their insistence on undermining it, and their silly claims of "socialized medicine" are belied by the bill's endorsement by the American Medical Association and the AARP.

Approve this rule and afford seniors and children the health care that Republican obstructionism would deny them.

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, I yield 1¾ minutes to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BUYER).

Mr. BUYER. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that 10 minutes be added to debate equally divided between both the majority and the minority.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

Ms. CASTOR. I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

Mr. SESSIONS. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BUYER. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. SESSIONS. Welcome to the new Democrat-run House of Representatives: No debate added time. No regular order hearings. Closed rules. Welcome.

Mr. BUYER. It is disappointing that the objection was so loud and clear.

I do remember coming here in the minority, and at the time it was referred to as the Imperial Congress. It has not taken you very long to get back to where you were. That is disappointing. When I look at what is happening, you have the votes, you have the majority.

When I think about what just happened to the Commerce Committee, I have such great respect for JOHN DINGELL.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is reminded to address his remarks to the Chair.

Mr. BUYER. Madam Speaker, I have great respect for JOHN DINGELL and how awkward he must feel that the leadership of this Congress took jurisdiction from his committee. Now, this is the same man that has respected the rules of process and procedure that has taught many of us in this House.

I think about the intolerance right now that the majority has of other people's views and opinions. That is very, very disheartening; and the American people should know and recognize what is happening here is wrong.

I just appeal to you once again, you have the votes. Do not turn Congress into an undemocratic institution. Think about when you were in the minority. There were times yet you didn't like what happened, but you had your opportunity to be heard. Yes, you may have lost an amendment or been voted down here or there. It is part of the democratic process.

Do not shut down the democratic process. That's what you have done on this bill. We should be reauthorizing the SCHIP program for children. Republicans created this bill. Let's do a clean bill. That's what we should be doing here on the floor.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are once again reminded to address their remarks to the Chair.

Ms. CASTOR. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. FOSSELLA).

(Mr. FOSSELLA asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FOSSELLA. Let me thank the gentleman for yielding as we continue the debate on ensuring children's health care.

Madam Speaker, let me bring up another point, and that is something that has been debated. Despite all the things we talk about here, there is nothing more important than protecting this country. Regrettably, I lost more people in Staten Island in Brooklyn than any other district in this country on 9/11. We should be

doing everything possible to ensure that our intelligence community is preventing terrorist attacks. Right now, Congress, I believe, is abdicating its responsibility. That's why I urge my colleagues to defeat the rule and urge my colleagues to defeat the previous question on the rule.

If the previous question is defeated, we will immediately bring legislation to the floor to solve an intelligence gap. Very simply this, the American people need to know, if there is a foreigner on foreign soil, if there is an area in Afghanistan where the intelligence community knows for a fact that there are terrorists plotting attacks to kill Americans, right now, without a court order, we can't listen to those conversations. That's irresponsible.

If we want to help and protect the American people to the best of our ability, we will allow our intelligence community to listen to foreigners on foreign soils whose sole objective is to kill more Americans and our allies without a court order or obtaining a warrant.

If we have another attack, God forbid, I would like to see Members in this body rush to the floor and explain why they wouldn't allow our intelligence community to listen to foreigners on foreign soil who want to only do one thing, kill us.

Ms. CASTOR. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, it's my understanding the gentlewoman from Florida is indicating she has no additional speakers and that she would choose to close?

Ms. CASTOR. That is correct, Madam Speaker. I will reserve until Mr. SESSION closes.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to adjourn.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair advises the House that the Chair intends to adhere to strict timelines when closing the first vote in subsequent vote series. The cooperation of all Members is appreciated.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 172, nays 246, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 783]

YEAS—172

Aderholt	Barton (TX)	Bonner
Akin	Biggert	Bono
Alexander	Bilbray	Boozman
Bachmann	Bilirakis	Boustany
Bachus	Bishop (UT)	Brady (TX)
Baker	Blackburn	Brown (GA)
Barrett (SC)	Blunt	Brown (SC)
Bartlett (MD)	Boehner	Buchanan

Burton (IN) Hayes
 Buyer Heller
 Calvert Hensarling
 Camp (MI) Herger
 Campbell (CA) Hobson
 Cannon Hoekstra
 Cantor Hulshof
 Capito Hunter
 Carter Inglis (SC)
 Castle Issa
 Chabot Johnson (IL)
 Coble Jordan
 Cole (OK) Keller
 Conaway King (IA)
 Crenshaw Kline (MN)
 Cubin Knollenberg
 Culberson Lamborn
 Davis (KY) Latham
 Davis, David LaTourrette
 Davis, Tom Lewis (CA)
 Deal (GA) Lewis (KY)
 Dent Linder
 Diaz-Balart, L. Lucas
 Diaz-Balart, M. Lungren, Daniel
 Doolittle E.
 Drake Mack
 Dreier Manzullo
 Duncan Marchant
 Ehlers McCarthy (CA)
 Emerson McCaul (TX)
 English (PA) McCotter
 Everett McCrery
 Fallon McHenry
 Feeney McHugh
 Flake McKeon
 Fortenberry McMorris
 Foxx Rodgers
 Franks (AZ) Mica
 Frelinghuysen Miller (FL)
 Gallegly Miller (MI)
 Garrett (NJ) Miller, Gary
 Gerlach Murphy, Tim
 Gilchrest Musgrave
 Gingrey Myrick
 Gohmert Neugebauer
 Goodlatte Nunes
 Granger Paul
 Graves Pearce
 Hastert Pence
 Hastings (WA) Peterson (PA)

NAYS—246

Abercrombie Crowley
 Ackerman Cuellar
 Allen Cummings
 Altmire Davis (AL)
 Andrews Davis (CA)
 Arcuri Davis (IL)
 Baca Davis, Lincoln
 Baird DeFazio
 Baldwin DeGette
 Barrow Delahunt
 Bean DeLauro
 Berkley Dicks
 Berman Dingell
 Berry Doggett
 Bishop (GA) Donnelly
 Bishop (NY) Doyle
 Blumenauer Edwards
 Boren Ellison
 Boswell Ellsworth
 Boucher Emanuel
 Boyd (FL) Engel
 Boyda (KS) Eshoo
 Brady (PA) Etheridge
 Braley (IA) Farr
 Brown, Corrine Fattah
 Brown-Waite, Fergusson
 Ginny Filner
 Burgess Forbes
 Butterfield Fossella
 Capps Frank (MA)
 Capuano Giffords
 Cardoza Gillibrand
 Carnahan Gillmor
 Carney Gonzalez
 Carson Gordon
 Castor Green, Al
 Chandler Green, Gene
 Clay Grijalva
 Cleaver Hall (NY)
 Clyburn Hall (TX)
 Cohen Hare
 Conyers Harman
 Cooper Hastings (FL)
 Costa Herseth Sandlin
 Costello Higgins
 Courtney Hill
 Cramer Hinchey

Petri
 Pickering
 Pitts
 Poe
 Price (GA)
 Pryce (OH)
 Putnam
 Radanovich
 Regula
 Rehberg
 Reichert
 Renzi
 Reynolds
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (MI)
 Ros-Lehtinen
 Roskam
 Royce
 Ryan (WI)
 Sali
 Schmidt
 Sensenbrenner
 Sessions
 Shadegg
 Shays
 Shimkus
 Shuster
 Simpson
 Smith (NE)
 Smith (TX)
 Souder
 Stearns
 Sullivan
 Terry
 Thornberry
 Tiahrt
 Tiberi
 Turner
 Upton
 Walden (OR)
 Wamp
 Weldon (FL)
 Westmoreland
 Whitfield
 Wicker
 Wilson (NM)
 Wilson (SC)
 Wolf
 Young (AK)
 Young (FL)

Hinojosa
 Hirono
 Hodes
 Holden
 Holt
 Hooley
 Hoyer
 Inslee
 Israel
 Jackson (IL)
 Jackson-Lee
 (TX)
 Jefferson
 Jindal
 Johnson (GA)
 Johnson, E. B.
 Jones (NC)
 Jones (OH)
 Kagen
 Kanjorski
 Kaptur
 Kennedy
 Kildee
 Kilpatrick
 Kind
 King (NY)
 Kingston
 Kirk
 Klein (FL)
 Kucinich
 Kuhl (NY)
 LaHood
 Lampson
 Langevin
 Lantos
 Larsen (WA)
 Larson (CT)
 Lee
 Levin
 Lewis (GA)
 Lipinski
 LoBiondo
 Loebsack
 Lofgren, Zoe
 Lowey
 Lynch
 Mahoney (FL)

Maloney (NY)
 Markey
 Marshall
 Matheson
 Matsui
 McCarthy (NY)
 McCollum (MN)
 McDermott
 McGovern
 McIntyre
 McNerney
 McNulty
 Meek (FL)
 Meeks (NY)
 Melancon
 Michaud
 Miller (NC)
 Mitchell
 Mollohan
 Moore (KS)
 Moore (WI)
 Moran (KS)
 Moran (VA)
 Murphy (CT)
 Murphy, Patrick
 Murtha
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal (MA)
 Oberstar
 Obey
 Oliver
 Ortiz
 Pallone
 Pastor
 Payne
 Perlmutter

Becerra
 Clarke
 Davis, Jo Ann
 Goode
 Gutierrez

Peterson (MN)
 Platts
 Pomeroy
 Porter
 Price (NC)
 Rahall
 Ramstad
 Rangel
 Reyes
 Rodriguez
 Rohrabacher
 Ross
 Rothman
 Roybal-Allard
 Ruppersberger
 Rush
 Ryan (OH)
 Salazar
 Sanchez, Linda
 T.
 Sanchez, Loretta
 Sarbanes
 Saxton
 Schakowsky
 Schiff
 Schwartz
 Scott (GA)
 Scott (VA)
 Serrano
 Sestak
 Shea-Porter
 Sherman
 Shuler
 Sires
 Skelton
 Smith (NJ)
 Smith (WA)

NOT VOTING—14

Honda
 Johnson, Sam
 Miller, George
 Pascrell
 Rogers (KY)

□ 1335

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the motion to adjourn was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3162, CHILDREN'S HEALTH AND MEDICARE PROTECTION ACT OF 2007

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the amendment, which I will offer to the rule if the previous question is defeated, and extraneous material be printed just prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, I yield the balance of my time to the ranking member of the Select Committee on Intelligence, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. HOEKSTRA).

Mr. HOEKSTRA. Madam Speaker, I think we all know the context of the world that we live in today. America is under heightened threat.

We also know that, if we go back to May 21, the Director of National Intelligence has said our intelligence agencies must obtain a court order to monitor the communications of foreigners suspected of terrorist activity who are physically located in foreign countries. Foreign intelligence, foreign terrorists in foreign countries, and we need to get a court order.

The end result is we have significant gaps in gathering the information that we need to keep America safe. That is why we need to vote against this previous question, and why we need to do an update of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act today.

But in light of these threats and this context, what has been the response? What's been the response of this Congress and the other side?

Only a couple of weeks ago, we decided that we would give al Qaeda more information about our Intelligence Community. We decided that Congress would mandate that we declassify the top line. In the intelligence authorization bill that we did earlier this year, we said we want a national intelligence estimate, not on al Qaeda, not on Iran, not on Syria, not on North Korea, but we want it on global climate change. We gutted some of our key funding for intelligence operations, and we have done absolutely nothing on updating FISA, even though we are under heightened threat and we are talking about foreign targets, foreign intelligence from individuals who are located overseas.

We need to update FISA, and we need to do it before we go home. Weakening our national security and weakening our intelligence effort in these times is the wrong thing to do.

We used to talk about our inability to connect the dots. What we now have is a majority that is unwilling and unable to give our Intelligence Community even the capabilities to go out and connect the dots that keep us safe.

Make no doubt about it. We are weakening our intelligence. We are making this country more vulnerable, and we need to act, and we need to act before we go on recess.

Mr. NADLER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOEKSTRA. No, I will not yield. And I know that this colleague has been very sympathetic to making us and fixing this problem, and I appreciate his efforts in this area.

But if we go back to knowing that we have had this information for more than 6 months, we have not dealt with this information. Go back to the “opened” that the Director of National Intelligence wrote in May. And this bill that we are dealing with today concerns children. But, as the DNI has said, this surveillance saves lives, the lives of our children and grandchildren. That is what we are talking about.

What do we do to keep the homeland safe? What do we do to keep our troops safe? Because we are talking about gathering intelligence from foreign targets in places like Pakistan, Afghanistan and Iraq.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's time has expired.

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, I would ask unanimous consent for 2 additional minutes for the gentleman.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?